Docket No.: 2091-0296P

Page 7 of 9

<u>REMARKS</u>

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-8 are pending, claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 being independent claims. Claims 5-8 have been added herein.

Prior Art Rejection

1. § 102 Rejection: Haeberli

Claims 1 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Haeberli (USP 5,587,596). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 is directed to a printing service system comprising a printing service apparatus that provides a service of printing a composite image generated from an image and characters. The printing service apparatus is connected to a user terminal via a network and includes a template storage means for storage templates used for generating the composite image. The printing service apparatus reads a stored template according to a request from the user terminal and sends the template to the user terminal, which inputs the characters to be used for generating the composite image according to the received template and sends such characters to the printing service apparatus. The printing service apparatus further comprises composite image generation means for generating the composite image from the characters received from the user terminal and the image according to the template, and sends generated composite image data to the user terminal. The printing service apparatus also sends the user terminal instruction page data, which enables the user to specify enlargement of the characters in the composite image. The printing service apparatus generates enlargement data of the characters specified by the user terminal and sends such enlargement data to the user terminal.

Reply to Office Action of July 2, 2007

Docket No.: 2091-0296P

Page 8 of 9

By viewing enlarged characters in the generated composite image, the user is able to

confirm that the character data is correct, (e.g., in the case where the printing service apparatus

has performed Japanese character conversion) without enlarging other image information in the

composite image (e.g., copyrighted image data). See e.g., page 11, lines 1-16.

In rejecting independent claim 1, the Examiner cites Figures 12a, 12b and the description

at col. 17, lines 8-27 of Haeberli as allegedly corresponding to the claimed image data sending

means, instruction page sending means, and enlargement sending means. Applicant notes,

however, that this section of Haeberli describes a preview image downloaded to the user

terminal, which allows the user to change size for a new preview image. Applicant submits that

this image resizing does not correspond to the claimed feature of specifying character

enlargement at the user terminal, generating enlargement data of characters in the previously-

generated composite image and generating enlargement data of the specified characters for

sending to the user terminal.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that Haeberli fails to anticipate claim 1 or any claim

depending therefrom. Independent claims 3, 5 and 7 define over Haeberli based on similar

reasoning. In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal

of the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

2. § 103 Rejection: Haeberli - Ogata

Claims 2 and 4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable

over Haeberli in view of Ogata et al. (USP 4,827,349). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

DRA/vd

Docket No.: 2091-0296P

Page 9 of 9

As set forth on page 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner relies on Ogata as allegedly

pertaining to the incremental features of dependent claims 2 and 4. The Examiner's reliance on

Ogata, however, fails to make up for the deficiencies of Haeberli discussed above respect to the

independent claims. Therefore, the asserted combination of Haeberli and Ogata (assuming these

references may be combined, which Applicant does not admit) fails to establish prima facie

obviousness of any pending claim.

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of

the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Conclusion

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone

number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in

connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: November 30, 2007

Respectfully submitted

D. Richard Anderson

Registration No.: 40,439

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East, P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

DRA/vd